

## Report of evaluating web sites

The first web site, =>

[http://www.travelershut.com/destination\\_guide/middle\\_east/abu\\_dhabi.html](http://www.travelershut.com/destination_guide/middle_east/abu_dhabi.html)

This web site deserved 60 points. It is easy to read. All information is relevant and accurate but it is out-of-date. It has also feedback. The domain name is COM so it is not so good.

The second web site => <http://www.conferenceabudhabi.com/tourist-board.htm>

This is the worst one. It deserved 46 points.

- The author is not trusted.
- The date is not shown.
- It has no graphics.
- The information is not good.
- It is difficult to find what you want.

The third one => [http://www.welcome-to.com/Abu\\_Dhabi/sights/Hospitality\\_A\\_Tradition](http://www.welcome-to.com/Abu_Dhabi/sights/Hospitality_A_Tradition)

This is the best one. It deserved 80 points. It is easy to read and to find what you want. It has wonderful graphics. The information is accurate and relevant.

This is another website ...

<http://www.cyberpoint.co.uk/personal/abu/mgriff3a.htm> . It looks good. It deserved 56 points. It has many old pictures. The title tells us that the pictures from Abu Dhabi but it are not from there. The last up-date was in 1996.

This is a website which includes poems about Abu Dhabi...

<http://www.geocities.com/TelevisionCity/4413/poem.html>

It has opposite views. It deserved 52 points. It is not bad too. It is bad-organized. It is not including a date of up-dating.

.....

This table compares between the first three sites.

Website number	Helpful graphics	Relevant info.	Up-to-date
1	No	Yes	No
2	No	No	No
3	Yes	Yes	Yes